

**WHITMAN COUNTY
PLANNING COMMISSION
Workshop
January 19, 2022**

MEMBERS:

**Chad Whetzel, Chair
Dave Gibney
Matt Webb
Fred Wexler**

**Brian Davies, Vice-Chair
Keith Paulson
Rusty Jamison**

Staff: Alan Thomson, WC Planner; Grace Di base, WC Assistant Planner; Brandon Johnson, Public Works; Elinor Huber, Clerk.

Others: Ken Duft; Shelly Chambers Fox.

7:10 p.m. – Alan Thomson – We have gone through the whole document so we just need to go through it again to make sure the changes that we all agreed upon are there. I have tidied it up and one question here Dave, you made the comment about the 2010 census on page 5. You said something about 2020. Should that not say 2010 because it is saying an increase of, “.....an increase of 5,704 ...from the 2010 census...?”

Dave Gibney – I would think that the actual numbers there should be updated by your consultant from the 2020 census, whatever the numbers are.

Alan Thomson – I talked with the consultant about updating all the data in here and they are going to do that.

Dave Gibney - Everything should be brought up to as current as it can be.

Alan Thomson – Yes, as soon as I send this document to them, they will go through all the data and make sure it is current before they send it back to us for the Planning Commission Public Hearing.

Matt Webb – Are you trying to say that there is an increase? If you go from 2020 to 2021 it is going to be like 1% or something like that? Are you trying to say there is an increase in population?

Alan Thomson – Are we okay with that section right there?

Dave Gibney – When we first started this we didn’t have the 2020 census. What you had was the 2010 census. Today we should have real numbers from 2020.

Alan Thomson – I am looking at the Office of Financial Management (OFM) data right now and it is an estimate 2020. We don’t have the actual census number right now. That is what is on the screen is the estimate numbers.

Brian Davies – That is because the 2020 census never was finished.

Dave Gibney – It was all inadequately done but it was finished.

Alan Thomson – The consultant will look at the numbers again. So, this is what we have right now. We do not have the official 2020 census numbers. If we do happen to get them before we are finished with this process, then those numbers will be updated.

Dave Gibney – Whatever we publish should be current as of post publication date.

Alan Thomson – That’s the plan. So, moving to page 7, I did talk to the consultant and he said he can alter the colors on this graph, and he will. If anybody has any thoughts about any of this, just chip on in. These are notes to Matt himself, to update whatever appendices are needed here on page 13. I’m going to leave those in for him.

Dave Gibney – Last time we agreed that a nice photo on page 11 would be nice.

Alan Thomson – Just a photo or do we need to frame it like Debbie is suggesting here?

Dave Gibney - I’m not a font person.

Alan Thomson - Not so much the font. The first comment about a photo and first bit of text and then a page for the Goals list.

Dave Gibney – The discussion last time was that would be useful and nice but it would push all of the Framework on to the next page. It doesn’t all fit on one page. Use up half that page with a nice picture and that might push the rest of the stuff down towards the bottom of three pages.

Alan Thomson – The consultant can work that out. So am I hearing that you might want to put a photo in here but don’t alter anything else. Is that what you are saying or not?

Dave Gibney -That is really all it says. Aside from saying lets be fancy with fonts which maybe is a good idea. I personally am not going to make an opinion on it.

Alan Thomson – Does anyone else have thoughts on that? Chad?

Chad Whetzel – I don’t object to the picture or anything. It appears to me that the way this is set up not all of our Framework Goals fit on one page anyway. As long as we’re not splitting them across three pages, I’m okay with that.

Alan Thomson – After we look through the whole document, we will look at the photos so keep this one in mind, what would be an appropriate photo.

Dave Gibney – What Debbie is saying there is the use of all caps in the blue font could be better. All caps is kind of shout-y. She may have a point.

Chad Whetzel – Maybe if anything, maybe bold it instead of all caps.

Alan Thomson – So, we are talking about these Framework Goals all caps. So do not put all caps in but just bold it?

Dave Gibney – That is what Debbie is saying?

Alan Thomson – What do the rest of you think?

Keith Paulson – It gives it a nice heading.

Brian Davies – I think this should look the same throughout the document and if we want something else to stand out, but if you look back at the Table of Contents, that is the same font as Debbie is referring to that was used down in the Goals, correct?

Dave Gibney – Debbie is saying you are using the Palatino all the way in the whole document and she doesn't like it.

Alan Thomson – Do we care?

Brian Davies – I just think we should choose a font that we like and keep it simple.

Dave Gibney – It should be the same from top to bottom. The same fonts, title lines and things like that.

Brian Davies – Like the headings for different columns. *Reason for Update, Planned Purpose and Objectives*. Those should all look like that.

Alan Thomson – So, keep in mind what we have here. We have the Intro, and then that seems to be different from this.

Brian Davies – That one stands out as being different from all of them.

Alan Thomson – I'll make a note of that that all the font needs to be consistent throughout the document.

Chad Whetzel – When you get down into those sections. What did we use?

Alan Thomson – You mean like the font?

Chad Whetzel – When you get to Goal and then on from there.

Dave Gibney – If you look at it closely, the black is all the Palatino and the other stuff is Sans serif sego.

Alan Thomson – This is the way the consultant framed it right now. So do we want to ask the consultant to reconsider this or leave it the way it is?

Dave Gibney – Or ask Debbie to clarify?

Alan Thomson – That is Debbie's point of view. So you could have a ton of different opinions about this.

Brian Davies – You could hire a consultant.

Alan Thomson – And let the consultant decide. This is what he decided. I don't have a problem with it. It is your point of view that I'm interested in.

Brian Davies – It needs to be readable, and if there is a better font that makes it easier to read for the average person. I don't know how many average County residents are going to actually read this document but if we can make it more reader friendly that would be great.

Alan Thomson – Are you saying that you think this is not that readable?

Brian Davies – For example, I am looking at the shared screen and I am looking at the text from the comments section font is easier to read than the Palatino. The comment section is smaller but it is easier to read than the Palatino.

Alan Thomson – What do you think about what Debbie is suggesting? She is saying the sans serif Segoe font is nicer. Shall we ask the consultant to change it all to sans serif Segoe?

Chad Whetzel – I think the problem with that is that they used different fonts to set things apart. I looked at the rest of the document to refresh my memory and as you go through the document when they are using those Framework Goals they use that bold to set that apart from everything else. I am okay with that. I think we need to leave, as long as it is consistent all the way through leave it the way that it is.

Brian Davies – I agree with that, too. If they think there is a text that would be easier to read with bold titles, then that is something we can discuss or they can advise us on.

Chad Whetzel – My only question would be, did they choose that font for a specific reason? Is it easier to print it off or something in legal documents that works better? Otherwise, I'm not that picky. I don't really care one way or the other.

Alan Thomson – Okay, how about when I talk with the consultant about the font and see if he can come up with a suggestion. Debbie had suggested one and I'll have a communication with Matt and see what happens.

Chad Whetzel – I don't think we should use the same font that we used for the heading of each *Framework Goal*. It needs to be set apart.

Alan Thomson – That is the way it is right now. It is set apart. So this is different from this.

Chad Whetzel – It needs to be slightly different as long as everything is legible.

Alan Thomson – There is a bunch of stuff the consultant still has to do.

Dave Gibney – On page 13, this part under *Public Engagement*, what the process was, after all it should say the commission met on such and such occasions doing whatever we did for the last several meetings.

Alan Thomson – That is all going to get added in at the end of this document.

Dave Gibney – It should be added in right here after community feedback at the bottom of page 13 where it says, "*Please see Appendix B for a complete report of community feedback.*" There should be several more paragraphs about what we have been doing over the last several months.

Alan Thomson – But it is saying they want to put that in Appendix B for a report of community feedback. Not here on this page.

Dave Gibney – No, we are not community feedback.

Chad Whetzel – That is correct.

Dave Gibney – This is the community feedback and the virtual public meetings. The section is *Virtual Public Meetings*. There should be a section on commission workshops. A third bullet in that bit right there.

Alan Thomson – So, Dave run with me on this one. This whole section is about *Public Engagement*. Not the Planning Commission engagement. Talking about the very beginnings of this process, *Resident Survey, Virtual Public Meetings*.

Dave Gibney – The whole part of it is the plan update process.

Alan Thomson – That is what they are talking about. What we presented to the public and what we did and when we did it. Then the appendix B would give a complete community feedback on that. The Planning Commission meetings are going to be at the end of this document. We talked about that last time. So it will have to be spelled out there. We had X number of meetings on these dates.

Dave Gibney - I was looking at this section of the document when we had that discussion.

Alan Thomson – Okay, but I don't think that should be in here. This is about what we presented to the public. There is a distinction here. The Planning Commission meetings are going to be in their own little compartment, separate from this one.

Dave Gibney – It should be as part of the introduction. You don't have any other place to put it.

Alan Thomson – Okay, bear with me when we get to the end of the document because we talked about this last time. There is a slot at the end of this document where we can put all the PC meetings. If I'm wrong, let's wait until we get to the end of this document and then we can talk about that again. I don't think this is where we put that in there. Let's just run through this and see if I am right or wrong.

Dave Gibney – Okay.

Chad Whetzel – I assume that section where it says RCW is not hyperlinked or if it is we are going to get that fixed? Then when it talks about the NRCS web soil survey?

Dave Gibney – On page 15, you still have a hyperlink, RCW under *Purpose*.

Alan Thomson – Let's talk about that because RCW 36.70(A) is basically GMA. It can change from time to time but that is an important document and if somebody really wanted to look into that this would be a quick way to get to it. Do you still want to unlink that?

Chad Whetzel – That was our original discussion. I don't know how many meetings ago was that the hyperlinks can change and end up in a dead end so if they are looking through this document and clicking on it and they are not getting it, it is kind of silly.

Alan Thomson – Then we talked about the hyperlinking to county code would be okay, right?

Chad Whetzel – Correct.

Dave Gibney – We talked at hyperlinking the stuff under the control of the County from a document under control of the County was less problematic with those links break. It is actually not a lot less problematic. The thing is if I were to copy and paste that RCW quote into Google it will take me directly to it and it will take me directly to the current one no matter when I do that. Whereas this hyperlink will take me to what it is today and not what it is tomorrow.

Alan Thomson – Okay, so we are cutting the hyperlinks. I'll let them know. So, can we move on? So this is a question that I asked and he needs to put something in here so I will leave this comment in here so Matt can attend to that one. I want to leave this one in here to adjust this graph.

So, on page 53, the way the *Framework Goals* is written out versus the other *Framework Goals*. It seems to be a bigger font than what we were discussing earlier than this.

Brian Davies – Not underlined.

Alan Thomson – So, are you saying we should not underline or leave it the way it is.

Brian Davies – I think the whole document should look the same at the end of the day.

Alan Thomson – Okay, so Brian wants to strike the underline here. What do you think?

Chad Whetzel – Yes, it needs to be consistent.

Brian Davies – I think striking the underline on the titles but if we need underlining in the text like "*Policy*," I think that is totally appropriate but if we are not going to use it on all of the titles, let's not use it on some and not on others.

Dave Gibney – What we need is consistency. We are a little less picky of the specific of it.

Keith Paulson – The *Frameworks* are underlined but the *Goals* are not underlined which gives it some inconsistency.

Alan Thomson – All of this will be checked to make sure it is current. It seems like whenever they use the word, "*Framework*" they underline it.

Dave Gibney – That's correct. They are underlining the outer big piece and not the secondary and they are changing the font and underlining for *Policy*.

Keith Paulson – I think that looks fine as long as it is consistent.

Alan Thomson – They have a different font right here.

Dave Gibney – On page 73, the *Goal EP-1* is a different font and size than the others.

Keith Paulson – The *Goals* up there are the main heading and the goals down below are different categories in that goal.

Dave Gibney – This section is different than the previous section.

Keith Paulson – Right, so once again, they need to be all the same.

Alan Thomson – Okay, I talked with Matt. This is old stuff on Page 81. *A-95* used to be something that is no longer so that is why it is struck out. It will be wacked. I will do that. That is basically the end of the document.

Chad Whetzel – I just got a question back in the Land Use chapter. Some of the maps there. It seemed like there was one map that was oriented differently from everything else.

Dave Gibney – The Pullman Urban Growth Boundary is from a different source and a different style entirely.

Chad Whetzel – This one on page 28, the WC Zoning Map – Pullman Area. Can we get it the same as the rest?

Alan Thomson – Yes. So back to where we put the PC meetings. I'm trying to figure out where we talked about that.

Dave Gibney – We talked about it on page 13 last time.

Alan Thomson – I thought last time we were talking about it in a different space.

Dave Gibney – No.

Alan Thomson – So, we need to add that in and if that is the place, then I will put in that data. How many PC meetings we had, when they were held, etc. So, that's it. Any more thoughts, comments?

Brian Davies – Just make it standard and consistent all the way through. We've gone over all this stuff fairly diligently and looked at all the language. I say we are ready to give it to the consultants and have them standardize it and let us take a final look before we pass it off to the BOCC.

Alan Thomson – Right. We need to think about these photos. I sent them all to you. Do you have preferences at all? We've got different ones from SEL and the Port.

Dave Gibney – I'm going to repeat my statement from last time. I don't know what the photos are of without labels.

Alan Thomson – Okay, Dave. I relabeled them. So, when I sent them they were labeled.

Dave Gibney – I didn't see your email of pictures.

Alan Thomson – That went out today. Did everyone else get them? Were they labeled?

Brian Davies – Yes.

Dave Gibney – I have an email from you today saying to make sure you go through the document before the meeting that you sent at 4:52 and everything else is from last week.

Chad Whetzel - I have one from yesterday that has pictures but nothing from today.

Keith Paulson – I saw the pictures and I was curious where they were. I didn't recognize them on the river.

Dave Gibney – I didn't get an email with pictures today.

Matt Webb – I didn't get either.

Alan Thomson – It might have been because the files were really big but nothing bounced back to me except Matt's. It bounced back and then I cut it up into 4 different emails. Did you receive those?

Brian Davies – Mine came on yesterday, the 18th. There were 10 attachments.

Alan Thomson – Did anyone get them?

Chad Whetzel – I got yesterdays but no labels on them.

Matt Webb – Correct. I got them yesterday but no labels.

Dave Gibney – I have nothing from you since Friday the 14th except for two today. No pictures.

Alan Thomson – Okay, this is the SEL Campus. Keep that one in mind.

Brian Davies – Before the apartment complex was constructed in Druffel's property.

Alan Thomson – That one is Central Ferry. The Port down on the Snake, there are different ones, I think one of these shots would be good to put in.

Keith Paulson – It just needs a heading to where it is. That is on the north side of the river and to the east.

Brian Davies – I liked the last one in that sequence that shows the Port in Pullman, it is relatively new, because it has the building that I am in that was finished in 2018.

Alan Thomson – The one you are talking about Brian is,

Brian Davies – The one looking at Kamiak Butte basically from a drone and you are looking across Terra View North.

Alan Thomson – Is that the one you are talking about? Okay, what does everyone think about that one?

Dave Gibney – There is probably room for lots of pictures.

Alan Thomson – How many more photos do we want to put in? Or do we want to replace something that is there already? There is a picture of one of the Ports in the current document which we could replace with another one.

Chad Whetzel – You know that section that Debbie was talking about adding a photo? Kind of the Palouse in general. This might be a good photo for that. Yes, it has the Port and it shows some of the industrial and all the agriculture in the County. As far as the overview of the County I think that is a pretty good shot of it.

Alan Thomson – Does everyone feel the same way about it?

Matt Webb – The two before it in the sequence of photos are kind of that yellow, doesn't look as good as this one does.

Keith Paulson – You are getting an overview of the County. All the pictures are pretty good but this one really lays it out and you can see lines of the crops and the hills behind. It is a good picture.

Brian Davies – It has high density apartments right up front.

Dave Gibney – It is a good picture. I don't see any reason why you can't have several pictures. More than the existing plan. You should replace any old ones with newer ones.

Alan Thomson – Okay.

Keith Paulson – I think Debbie sent a few pictures of the Port.

Brian Davies – Any of the Port pictures are good.

Alan Thomson – This is Central Ferry and I know we have one in the comp plan right now. I can't remember right now if it is Wilma or Central Ferry but this is a better one. We could replace that old one with something like this. Here is Wilma and Clarkston.

Dave Gibney – It is only two thirds of it that is WC but, it is a good picture.

Matt Webb – I personally like the one of Almotia better than that one. The colors and everything if you are trying to make it aesthetically pleasing.

Alan Thomson – Here is the Colfax Airport, the Port facility there.

Keith Paulson – The Port has spent a lot of money in the realignment, which doesn't really show there. And all the new infrastructure that they did with pavement and new driveways, plus that is about 6 years old so there are a lot of new buildings that are out there.

I was thinking about the same thing about Boyer Park. They have updated the park. They have new RV parking and cabins and I think they were doing new docks and stuff, so not that they need to have new pictures, but if they are going to update, this is the time to do it.

Alan Thomson – So, you are saying you don't think this is a good photo for this document? It is not updated.

Keith Paulson – No, it has totally changed from what that is. It looks nicer now than in the picture.

Alan Thomson – For some reason I don't have the Central Ferry one keyed up on my computer right now. So, we could put a couple different photos in here. The one of Central Ferry seems to be better than the Wilma one?

Chad Whetzel – Yes, I think that is what everyone agreed to.

Alan Thomson – Then you've seen the photos that I sent before. The Almota one is a decent one. We could put that one in somewhere.

Chad Whetzel – While you are going through this, I was looking at my phone and that email I got yesterday of all the pictures, when you actually click on the picture it does have a title for each one of them.

Alan Thomson – Thank you, Chad!

Brian Davies – All you have to do is hover over it.

Chad Whetzel – So it is there.

Dave Gibney – Am I on the address list?

Alan Thomson – You should be. You are here so you got the same email that everybody else did. Look at yesterday's email again, Dave. Everybody else seems to have got it and you got the same one.

Dave Gibney – I was asking for someone to confirm that I was really on that email.

Brian Davies – I'm looking at it right now and you are on the list.

Dave Gibney – Maybe it went into spam.

Alan Thomson – I'll send it out to you again, Dave. Here's a thought, everybody look at these photos and give me your opinion which ones you would like to see in the document and I'll compile all that and if we have a consistency I'll let the consultant know to put them in somewhere.

Chad Whetzel – I like that one of WC with Kamiak Butte, the Almota, and Central Ferry.

Alan Thomson – Could you send me an email with that information?

Chad Whetzel – You mean I have to send an email?

Alan Thomson – I hate to impose on you!

Chad Whetzel – Number those pictures for me so I can give you a number.

Keith Paulson – A, B, and C.

Chad Whetzel – Numbers, not letters. If you are going to use letters, make sure they are capitalized.

Brian Davies – No roman numerals?

Alan Thomson – We've gone through the document and talked about the photos, and I think we are ready to send it off to the consultant. What do you think?

Brian Davies – Yes.

Chad Whetzel – Absolutely.

Alan Thomson – Okay.

Chad Whetzel – Hey, Rusty, can you hear and respond to us?

Rusty Jamison – Yes, I hear you. (Inaudible)

Dave Gibney – So, the consultant is going to go over it, it will come back to us and we will look at it again and then hold a hearing?

Alan Thomson – It won't be ready for our next meeting. So, I plan on sending it to Matt, and then he will give me an estimate as to what time frame he will take to get it ready, and then he will send it back to me and then I think we should have another meeting to present it, the final edit to you, the PC, and if you okay it then we will set up a public hearing with the PC.

Chad Whetzel – It would be really handy if we could have this back by the second meeting in February and then look at it and then do a March public hearing.

Alan Thomson – I think that is up to the consultant. We have to go through a SEPA as well and do a check list together. I will try and get an idea of their time frame and if it fits into that schedule that would be great.

Chad Whetzel – If we could encourage them to do it, and move things along since we are paying them to do it for us.

Alan Thomson – Yes, I will do that.

Keith Paulson – And we get paid by the evening, so let's just drag this out as long as we can.

Dave Gibney – If we can ship it to the BOCC even by April that would still work.

Alan Thomson – I'm thinking March or April. Somewhere in there.

Chad Whetzel – If we shoot for March and end up in April, I’m okay with that. But if we end up shooting for April and end up in May, we are starting to get ridiculous.

Alan Thomson – The consultant had a pre-discussed time frame anyway. He thought we would be doing the BOCC the end of December.

Chad Whetzel – They haven’t dealt with WC before, have they?

Alan Thomson – That’s right.

Dave Gibney – I think it would be better if we held the formal public hearing on a regular meeting date rather than a different one.

Alan Thomson – I agree. The earliest we could do that would be March. So I am going to present that to the consultant and see what they say.

Keith Paulson – Before March would be good because in April you are starting into spring work.

Chad Whetzel – Yes.

Brian Davies – We have a public hearing in March and then we write a Findings of Fact and give it to the BOCC?

Alan Thomson – The Findings of Fact would be written up for the PC and that is what you would be going over at the public hearing and if you approve it and send it on to the BOCC the BOCC have a choice. They can accept what the PC has sent to them without any changes or they can opt to make changes and have their own public hearing. Ultimately, they will have anyway, but if they see any changes they want to make then they will change their Findings to whatever they need to change it to.

Chad Whetzel – So, when we vote on this, normally when we are going over our zoning things, we say it is consistent with the comp plan but this is the comp plan. What is our spiel when we get that far? Or we need to come up with something, I guess.

Alan Thomson – It is consistent with the Growth Management Act. That is how I would phrase it.

Chad Whetzel – Just throwing that one out there.

Alan Thomson – That is one of the bridges I have to cross when I get there. How to word it.

Dave Gibney – Consistent with the values and community standards of WC.

Brian Davies – And the GMA.

Alan Thomson – I think the GMA is the key there because they require us to do it.

Chad Whetzel – Yes, but it also has to be consistent with what the people in WC have asked for and want too.

Alan Thomson – So, that is going to be something that the consultant can help with because they have gone through this numerous times before. I will ask them that very same question, Chad. Thank you.

Rusty Jamison – (Inaudible)

Chad Whetzel – One of the things I do appreciate trying to get things to move along, but look at this as similar to the marijuana ordinance where the Board has come up with a plan that we like and we are going to send it to them and if they want to make changes, that is on them and they can do that on their own time.

Alan Thomson - So, Rusty, there is a process laid out by state law that we have to follow. The BOCC get the meeting minutes, they see the plan and they talk to me from time to time. I can update them on that. They will see what the final plan is that the PC has presented and they get a heads up.

They are not going to be put on the spot the day they have the public hearing and this will be completely new to them, no. They know what is going on and they can whisper in my ear that there may be some things they don't like, like we did with the marijuana ordinance. They made it known what they liked and they didn't like but they can't join in in the PC meetings.

They have to be treated separately and you can't have them involved in this whole process with the PC. They are up to speed on this. They know what is going on. They may change some things. The Rail Banking part for instance, is still a little bit fuzzy and the BOCC know about that and they may end up changing that language a little bit but that's when they get to their public hearing that is when they can do something like that.

Rusty Jamison – Okay, I just want to make sure that (inaudible) I don't see and public outcry like there was with the marijuana, but that could change at any time.

Alan Thomson – Well, State law sets up the plan how things must go and when it gets to the BOCC and the process if they want to accept it (inaudible) from the PC they can do that. If they want to change it they can do that. In their own time frame.

Dave Gibney – The BOCC are the political body and responsible directly to the people of WC. We are a planning and policy suggesting body and then advisory to the BOCC. It is their call to make the final decisions.

Alan Thomson – That is the plan. Any other questions?

Chad Whetzel – The way that I am looking at this, is February 2nd would be the next meeting, and we shouldn't have anything to do with the comp plan. One of the things we talked about and I think we need to get to is our By-laws. I know he said we have Shoreline Master Program update, but before we do that we need to go through our By-Laws and include things like our zoom meetings. Right now, technically, according to our By-laws, this isn't right. Before we move to another subject matter we should rectify that.

Alan Thomson – You won't be doing the Shoreline Master Program update for a while yet. That is not going to happen for several weeks, or months. We are not ready to bring it to the PC. Yes, we can

certainly tackle the By-Laws next time. Does everybody have a copy of them? I will send everybody a copy of the By-Laws tomorrow and think about it and read it and the next meeting we will discuss it. You are right, Chad, I don't think we will be talking about the comp plan.

Chad Whetzel – We will look forward for an update from you.

Alan Thomson – Okay, is everybody okay for February 2, 2022. Okay.

Rusty Jamison – (Inaudible)

Dave Gibney – I really have been unable to understand what Rusty has said.

Alan Thomson – Me too. Your connection is really bad, Rusty.

Rusty Jamison – I know. (Inaudible)

Keith Jamison – Take your mask off.

Rusty Jamison – It is a real problem.

Alan Thomson – Rusty, here is a suggestion. You can still come to Colfax and sit in the auditorium. That is still an option for you.

Chad Whetzel – Is the auditorium being used as offices, now?

Alan Thomson – It is the courts. Superior Court is using it and it is set up for them and we normally can't go in there because it is still set up for the court. Anybody can come in there. Brandon and Elinor are down there now. If you have a bad connection, that is an option for you.

Rusty Jamison – Can you hear me at all?

Brian Davies - It sounds like he's talking into his hand.

Rusty Jamison – (Inaudible)

MOTION by Keith Paulson and seconded by Brian Davies to adjourn. Motion passed.

Alan Thomson – Thank you.

Adjourned – 8:20 p.m.